



**TECHNICAL SUPPORT DOCUMENT**

**Air Discharge Permit / Nonroad Engine Permit 25-3681  
ADP/NEP Application W-28**

**Issued: January 25, 2025**

**Burns Construction**

**SWCAA ID - 229**

Prepared By: Wess Safford  
Air Quality Engineer  
Southwest Clean Air Agency

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| <u>Section</u>  | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| 1. Facility Identification  | 1           |
| 2. Facility Description   | 1           |
| 3. Current Permitting Action  | 1           |
| 4. Process Description  | 1           |
| 5. Equipment/Activity Identification  | 2           |
| 6. Emissions Determination  | 5           |
| 7. Regulations and Emission Standards   | 9           |
| 8. RACT/BACT/BART/LAER/PSD/CAM Determinations   | 11          |
| 9. Ambient Impact Analysis  | 12          |
| 10. Discussion of Approval Conditions   | 12          |
| 11. Start-up and Shutdown Provisions/Alternative Operating Scenarios/Pollution Prevention | 13          |
| 12. Emission Monitoring and Testing   | 14          |
| 13. Facility History  | 14          |
| 14. Public Involvement Opportunity  | 15          |

**ABBREVIATIONS***List of Acronyms*

|       |   |        |  |
|-------|---|--------|--|
| ADP   | Air Discharge Permit  | NESHAP | National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants |
| AP-42 | Compilation of Emission Factors, AP-42, 5th Edition, Volume 1, Stationary Point and Area Sources – published by EPA | NOV    | Notice of Violation/                                     |
| ASIL  | Acceptable Source Impact Level  | NSPS   | New Source Performance Standard                          |
| BACT  | Best available control technology   | PSD    | Prevention of Significant Deterioration                  |
| CAS#  | Chemical Abstracts Service registry number  | RACT   | Reasonably Available Control Technology                  |
| CFR   | Code of Federal Regulations   | RCW    | Revised Code of Washington                               |
| EPA   | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  | SCC    | Source Classification Code                               |
| EU    | Emission Unit   | SDS    | Safety Data Sheet  |
| MACT  | Maximum Achievable Control Technologies   | SQER   | Small Quantity Emission Rate listed in WAC 173-460       |
|       |   | SWCAA  | Southwest Clean Air Agency                               |
|       |   | WAC    | Washington Administrative Code                           |

*List of Units and Measures*

|                          |                                   |       |                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|
| $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ | Micrograms per cubic meter        | MMBtu | Million British thermal unit     |
| $\mu\text{m}$            | Micrometer ( $10^{-6}$ meter)     | ppm   | Parts per million                |
| acfm                     | Actual cubic foot per minute      | ppmv  | Parts per million by volume      |
| bhp                      | Brake horsepower                  | ppmvd | Parts per million by volume, dry |
| gpm                      | Gallon per minute                 | ppmw  | Parts per million by weight      |
| gr/dscf                  | Grain per dry standard cubic foot | rpm   | Revolution per minute            |
| hp                       | Horsepower                        | tph   | Ton per hour                     |
| hp-hr                    | Horsepower-hour                   | tpy   | Tons per year                    |
| kW                       | Kilowatt                          |       |                                  |

*List of Chemical Symbols, Formulas, and Pollutants*

|                        |   |                   |   |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| $\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ | Propane   | PM                | Particulate Matter with an aerodynamic diameter 100 $\mu\text{m}$ or less |
| $\text{CH}_4$          | Methane   |                   |   |
| CO                     | Carbon monoxide   | PM <sub>10</sub>  | PM with an aerodynamic diameter 10 $\mu\text{m}$ or less                  |
| CO <sub>2</sub>        | Carbon dioxide  | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | PM with an aerodynamic diameter 2.5 $\mu\text{m}$ or less                 |
| CO <sub>2e</sub>       | Carbon dioxide equivalent   | SO <sub>2</sub>   | Sulfur dioxide  |
| HAP                    | Hazardous air pollutant listed pursuant to Section 112 of the Federal Clean Air Act | TAP               | Toxic air pollutant pursuant to Chapter 173-460 WAC                       |
| NO <sub>x</sub>        | Nitrogen oxides   | VOC               | Volatile organic compound   |
| O <sub>2</sub>         | Oxygen  |                   |   |
| O <sub>3</sub>         | Ozone   |                   |   |

Terms not otherwise defined have the meaning assigned to them in the referenced regulations or the dictionary definition, as appropriate.

## 1. FACILITY IDENTIFICATION

Applicant Name: Burns Construction, Inc.  
 Applicant Address: 2353 Jathom Lane, Longview, WA 98632  
  
 Facility Name: Burns Construction  
 Facility Address: Portable – Equipment based at Abe Creek Quarry  
 513 State Route 4, Cathlamet, WA 98612  
 SWCAA Identification: 229  
  
 Contact Person: Les Burns, Owner  
  
 Primary Process: Crushed and Broken Stone Mining and Quarrying  
 SIC/NAICS Code: 1429 / Mining and Quarrying of Nonmetallic Minerals, Crushed and  
 Broken Stone, not Elsewhere Classified  
 212319 / Other Crushed and Broken Stone Mining and Quarrying  
 Facility Latitude and Longitude: 46° 11' 12.64" N  
 123° 20' 49.67" W  
  
 Facility Classification: Natural Minor; Nonroad Engine

## 2. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Burns Construction (Burns) operates a portable rock crushing plant based in Cathlamet, WA.

## 3. CURRENT PERMITTING ACTION

This permitting action is in response to Air Discharge Permit / Nonroad Engine Permit application number W-28 (ADP/NEP Application W-28) dated November 14, 2024. Burns submitted ADP/NEP Application W-28 requesting approval of the following:

- (1) Komatsu BR550JG-1 jaw crusher and associated nonroad engine;
- (1) Sandvik Retek 521c cone crusher and associated nonroad engine;
- (1) JCI aggregate screen; and
- (1) Sandvik aggregate screen.

The current permitting action provides approval for new crushing and screening equipment as proposed in ADP/NEP Application W-28. ADP/NEP 25-3681 will supersede ADP 16-3206 in its entirety.

## 4. PROCESS DESCRIPTION

- 4.a. Rock Crushing. Portable equipment is used to crush and screen raw aggregate mined from Abe Creek Quarry or other temporary locations. Crushing equipment is arranged in sequence for primary, secondary, and tertiary crushing. The screening unit is placed between the crushing stages to size material. Raw aggregate will be fed into the crushing equipment using payloaders. Crushed aggregate is transferred via conveyor belt from the crusher equipment to storage piles. High pressure spray/fog nozzles are used to control fugitive dust emissions at the entrance of each crusher and screen. Other emission points are watered as necessary to control fugitive dust emissions.

- 4.b. Auxiliary Power Generation. Portions of this facility are powered by a portable diesel engine driven generator.

## 5. EQUIPMENT/ACTIVITY IDENTIFICATION

- 5.a. Komatsu Jaw Crusher (new). This unit is a jaw crusher powered by an integral diesel engine. Wet suppression is used to control dust emissions at the inlet of the crusher and as necessary at the finished product delivery belt.

Make / Model: Komatsu / BR550JG-1 (s/n 1099)  
 Year Built: 2008  
 Capacity: 300 tph  
 NSPS Applicable: Subpart OOO  
 Location: 46° 11' 12.64" N 123° 20' 49.67" W (home pit)

*ADP/NEP Application W-28. Burns proposes to add this unit to its existing operations.*

- 5.b. Terex Canica Impact Crusher (existing). This unit is a vertical shaft impact crusher. Wet suppression is used to control dust emissions at the inlet of the crusher and as necessary at the finished product delivery belt.

Make / Model: Terex Canica / VSI 95 (s/n 074098)  
 Year Built: 1990  
 Capacity: 400 tph  
 NSPS Applicable: Subpart OOO (tested 8/26/2009)  
 Location: 46° 11' 12.64" N 123° 20' 49.67" W (home pit)

- 5.c. Sandvik Cone Crusher (new). This unit is a track-mounted cone crusher powered by an integral diesel engine. Wet suppression is used to control dust emissions at the inlet of the crusher and as necessary at the finished product delivery belt.

Make / Model: Sandvik / Retek 521c (s/n 670139)  
 Year Built: 2006  
 Capacity: 300 tph  
 NSPS Applicable: Subpart OOO  
 Location: 46° 11' 12.64" N 123° 20' 49.67" W (home pit)

*ADP/NEP Application W-28. Burns proposes to add this unit to its existing operations.*

- 5.d. JCI Aggregate Screen (new). This unit is a portable aggregate screen. Wet suppression is used as necessary to control dust emissions at associated material handling points.

Make / Model: JCI / 6203 32LF (s/n 3021077)  
 Year Built: 2005  
 Size: 6x20 (2-deck)  
 Capacity: 400 tph  
 NSPS Applicable: Subpart OOO  
 Location: 46° 11' 12.64" N 123° 20' 49.67" W (home pit)

ADP/NEP Application W-28. Burns proposes to add this unit to its existing operations.

- 5.e. Sandvik Aggregate Screen (new). This unit is a portable aggregate screen. Wet suppression is used as necessary to control dust emissions at associated material handling points.

Make / Model: Sandvik / SC1862 (s/n 1188E16053)  
 Year Built: 2016  
 Capacity: 400 tph  
 NSPS Applicable: Subpart OOO  
 Location: 46° 11' 12.64" N 123° 20' 49.67" W (home pit)

ADP/NEP Application W-28. Burns proposes to add this unit to its existing operations.

- 5.f. Haul Roads and Storage Piles (existing). Vehicle traffic and material handling operations generate fugitive dust emissions. Haul roads may be paved and/or unpaved depending on the location at which the facility is operating. Fugitive emissions from storage piles and haul roads are minimized with the use of low pressure wet suppression.

- 5.g. Diesel Engine - Caterpillar Generator (existing). This engine powers an electric generator that provides ancillary power to the facility.

Make / Model: Caterpillar / PM3508 (s/n 1FZ02463)  
 Power Rating: 1,538 bhp (@1,800 rpm)  
 Fuel Type: Diesel  
 Fuel Consumption: 75 gal/hr (est'd)  
 Model Year: 2004  
 EPA Certification: Tier 1  
 Engine Family: 4CPXL34.5ERK  
 NSPS/MACT Applicable: IIII / ZZZZ (testing required)  
 Exhaust: 6' by 18" vertical (3' 4<sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub>" circular equivalent), at 13' above ground level  
 Generator Make / Model: Caterpillar / SR4B (s/n 2DN02077)  
 Generator Power Rating: 910 kW  
 Location: 46° 11' 12.64" N 123° 20' 49.67" W (home pit)

- 5.h. Nonroad Diesel Engine – Komatsu Jaw Crusher (new). This engine powers the Komatsu jaw crusher. This unit is classified as a nonroad engine due to its portable use.

Make / Model: Komatsu / SAA6D125E-2 (s/n 211843)  
 Power Rating: 322 bhp  
 Fuel Type: Diesel  
 Fuel Consumption: 16.3 gal/hr (est'd)  
 Model Year: 2007  
 EPA Certification: Tier 3  
 Federal Regulations: 40 CFR 1039  
 Exhaust: 4" diameter, horizontal at ~10' above ground level  
 Location: 46° 11' 12.64" N 123° 20' 49.67" W (home pit)

- 5.i. Nonroad Diesel Engine - Sandvik Cone Crusher (new). This engine powers the Sandvik cone crusher. This unit is classified as a nonroad engine due to its portable use.

Make / Model: Caterpillar / C15 (s/n JRE02809)  
 Power Rating: 717 bhp  
 Fuel Type: Diesel  
 Fuel Consumption: 36.4 gal/hr (est'd)  
 Model Year: 2006  
 EPA Certification: Tier 3  
 Federal Regulations: 40 CFR 1039  
 Exhaust: 4" diameter, horizontal at ~10' above ground level  
 Location: 46° 11' 12.64" N 123° 20' 49.67" W (home pit)

The following equipment has been removed from service:

- 5.j. USM Horizontal Shaft Impact Crusher (removed). This unit is a horizontal shaft impact crusher. Wet suppression is used as necessary to control dust emissions at associated material handling points.

Make / Model: U.S. Machinery (USM) Company / HIS W300 (s/n 32394)  
 Year Built: 1992  
 Capacity: 150 tph  
 NSPS Applicable: No

- 5.k. Terex El-Jay Screen (removed). This screen is an integral component to the USM impact crusher. The screen separates material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the screens.

Make / Model: Terex / El-Jay FSG 5163-26  
 Year Built: 1992 (est.)  
 Capacity: 950 tph  
 NSPS Applicable: Subpart OOO

- 5.l. Equipment/Activity Summary.

| ID No. | Equipment/Activity   | Control Equipment/Measure                         |
|--------|--|---|
| 1      | Komatsu Jaw Crusher  | High Pressure Wet Suppression                     |
| 2      | Terex Canica Impact Crusher                                    | High Pressure Wet Suppression                     |
| 3      | Sandvik Cone Crusher   | High Pressure Wet Suppression                     |
| 4      | JCI Aggregate Screen   | High Pressure Wet Suppression                     |
| 5      | Sandvik Aggregate Screen                                       | High Pressure Wet Suppression                     |
| 6      | Haul Roads and Storage Piles                                   | Wet suppression                                   |
| 7      | Diesel Engine - Caterpillar Generator (Caterpillar - 1,538 hp) | Ultra-low sulfur diesel, EPA Tier 1 Certification |

| <b>ID No.</b> | <b>Equipment/Activity</b>                                       | <b>Control Equipment/Measure</b>                     |
|---------------|---|--|
| 8             | Nonroad Engine - Komatsu Jaw Crusher<br>(Komatsu - 322 hp)      | Ultra-low sulfur diesel,<br>EPA Tier 3 Certification |
| 9             | Nonroad Engine - Sandvik Cone Crusher<br>(Caterpillar - 717 hp) | Ultra-low sulfur diesel,<br>EPA Tier 3 Certification |

## 6. EMISSIONS DETERMINATION

Emissions to the ambient atmosphere from the new and existing equipment proposed in ADP/NEP Application W-28 consist of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), volatile organic compounds (VOC), particulate matter (PM), and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>).

Unless otherwise specified by SWCAA, actual emissions must be determined using the specified input parameter listed for each emission unit and the following hierarchy of methodologies:

- (a) Continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) data;
- (b) Source emissions test data (EPA reference method). When source emissions test data conflicts with CEMS data for the time period of a source test, source test data must be used;
- (c) Source emissions test data (other test method); and
- (d) Emission factors or methodology provided in this TSD.

6.a. Rock Crushing and Screening (existing). Potential emissions from aggregate crushing operations are calculated based on a maximum material throughput of 300,000 tpy and applicable emission factors. Except for primary crushing, all emission factors for rock crushing are 'controlled' emission factors from the 8/04 version of EPA AP-42, Table 11.19.2-2. Emission factors for tertiary crushing have been used as an upper limit for secondary crushing as suggested in the 8/04 version of the table.

Emission factors for primary crushing are derived from the 1/95 version of EPA AP-42, Table 11.19.2-2, which only provided an 'uncontrolled' PM emission factor for primary crushing. An 'uncontrolled' PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor was calculated using a PM to PM<sub>10</sub> ratio of 2.1:1 as specified in the 1/95 table footnotes. An 'uncontrolled' PM<sub>2.5</sub> emission factor was calculated using a PM to PM<sub>2.5</sub> ratio of 12:1 as cited for tertiary crushing in the 8/04 version of the table. A control efficiency of 80% (wet suppression) was applied to produce 'controlled' emission factors.

Emissions from rock blasting operations are calculated from material throughput and Eq. 1 from AP-42 Section 11.9 (July 1998) for blasting assuming a horizontal blast area of 17,000 ft<sup>2</sup> with a blast depth of 20 ft and a material density of 3,240 lb/yd<sup>3</sup>; this equates to 20,400 ton/blast. No control efficiency is calculated for blasting operations.

Annual emissions from aggregate crushing operations will be calculated based on actual material throughput using the same methodology.

|                  |                   |           |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Total Emissions: | PM                | 0.74 tpy  |
|                  | PM <sub>10</sub>  | 0.30 tpy  |
|                  | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.041 tpy |



| Activity           | Throughput (tpy) | Pollutant         | Emission Factor - Controlled (lb/ton) | Turn Points | Emissions (tpy) |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Primary crushing   | 200,000          | PM                | 0.00014                               |             | 0.014           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>10</sub>  | 0.000067                              |             | 0.007           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.000012                              |             | 0.001           |
| Secondary crushing | 200,000          | PM                | 0.0012                                |             | 0.120           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>10</sub>  | 0.00054                               |             | 0.054           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.0001                                |             | 0.010           |
| Tertiary crushing  | 200,000          | PM                | 0.0012                                |             | 0.120           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>10</sub>  | 0.00054                               |             | 0.054           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.0001                                |             | 0.010           |
| Screening          | 200,000          | PM                | 0.0022                                |             | 0.220           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>10</sub>  | 0.00074                               |             | 0.074           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.00005                               |             | 0.005           |
| Loading/conveying  | 200,000          | PM                | 0.00014                               | 8           | 0.112           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>10</sub>  | 0.000046                              |             | 0.037           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.000013                              |             | 0.010           |
| Blasting           | 200,000          | PM                | 0.0015                                |             | 0.150           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>10</sub>  | 0.00078                               |             | 0.078           |
|                    |                  | PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.000045                              |             | 0.005           |

- 6.b. Haul Roads (existing). Potential emissions from unpaved haul roads are calculated based on an average truck weight of 27 tons, an average silt content of 4.8%, an average round trip distance of 0.5 miles, and the emission equation from EPA AP-42, Section 13.2.2 (11/06). The use of wet suppression is assumed to provide an overall control efficiency of 80% for fugitive dust emissions. Average truck weight represents an empty truck weight of 17 tons and aggregate loads of 20 tons. The 4.8% silt content is the average silt content listed for sand and gravel plant processing roads in AP-42 Table 13.2.2.1 (11/06).

Annual emissions will be calculated based on actual haul road traffic using the same methodology.

$$E = k \left( \frac{s}{12} \right)^a \left( \frac{w}{3} \right)^b \quad \text{lb/vehicle mile travelled (uncontrolled)}$$

Where:

- E = pounds of pollutant per vehicle mile traveled
- w = average truck weight in tons
- s = road surface silt content (%)
- k = 4.9 (PM<sub>30</sub>), 1.5 (PM<sub>10</sub>), 0.15 (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)
- a = 0.7 (PM<sub>30</sub>), 0.9 (PM<sub>10</sub>), 0.9 (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)
- b = 0.45 (PM<sub>30</sub>), 0.45 (PM<sub>10</sub>), 0.45 (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)

|                               |        |                          |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| Material Shipped =            | 200000 | tons                     |
| Average Truck Weight =        | 27.0   | tons                     |
| Round Trip Distance =         | 0.50   | miles                    |
| Average Load =                | 20.0   | tons                     |
| Total # of Trips =            | 10,000 | loads                    |
| Total Miles Traveled =        | 5,000  | miles                    |
| Assumed Silt Content =        | 4.8    | % (AP-42 Table 13.2.2-1) |
| Assumed Control (wet supp.) = | 80     | %                        |

  

| Pollutant         | Emissions                     |                             | Emission Factor Source |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|                   | Uncontrolled<br>EF<br>lb/mile | Controlled<br>EF<br>lb/mile |                        |
| PM                | 6.94                          | 1.39                        | 3.47 tpy               |
| PM <sub>10</sub>  | 1.77                          | 0.35                        | 0.88 tpy               |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.18                          | 0.04                        | 0.088 tpy              |

- 6.c. Diesel Engine – Caterpillar Generator (existing). Potential emissions from engine operation are calculated based on 1,333 hr/yr of operation at full rated load, use of ultra-low sulfur diesel (<0.0015% sulfur by weight), and applicable emission factors. Sulfur oxide emissions are estimated using mass balance methodology, assuming all fuel sulfur is converted to sulfur dioxide. Annual emissions will be calculated from actual hours of operation using the emission factors identified below.

|                         |        |                       |
|-------------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| Operation =             | 1,333  | hr/yr                 |
| Power Output =          | 1,538  | horsepower            |
| Fuel Sulfur Content =   | 0.0015 | % by weight           |
| Fuel Consumption Rate = | 75.0   | gal/hr                |
| Fuel Heat Content =     | 0.138  | MMBtu/gal (40 CFR 98) |

  

| Pollutant                              | Emissions |        | EF Source              |
|--|-----------|--------|------------------------|
|  | lb/hr     | tpy    |                        |
| NO <sub>x</sub>                        | 20.00     | 13.33  | EPA Certification Data |
| CO                                     | 1.52      | 1.01   | EPA Certification Data |
| VOC                                    | 0.75      | 0.503  | EPA Certification Data |
| SO <sub>x</sub> as SO <sub>2</sub>     | 0.016     | 0.0108 | Mass Balance           |
| PM/PM <sub>10</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.35      | 0.236  | EPA Certification Data |

  

| Pollutant         | EF       |          | Emissions |       |
|-------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-------|
|                   | kg/MMBtu | lb/MMBtu | lb/gallon | tpy   |
| CO <sub>2</sub> e | 73.9636  | 163.61   | 22.58     | 1,129 |

- 6.d. Nonroad Diesel Engine – Komatsu Jaw Crusher (new). Potential emissions from engine operation are calculated based on 1,333 hr/yr of operation at full rated load, use of ultra-low sulfur diesel (<0.0015% sulfur by weight), and applicable emission factors. Sulfur oxide emissions are estimated using mass balance methodology, assuming all fuel sulfur is converted to sulfur dioxide. Annual emissions will be calculated from actual hours of operation using the emission factors identified below.

|  |                 |                       |                        |            |           |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Operation =                            | 1,333           | hr/yr                 |                        |            |           |
| Power Output =                         | 322             | horsepower            |                        |            |           |
| Fuel Sulfur Content =                  | 0.0015          | % by weight           |                        |            |           |
| Fuel Consumption Rate =                | 16.3            | gal/hr                |                        |            |           |
| Fuel Heat Content =                    | 0.138           | MMBtu/gal (40 CFR 98) |                        |            |           |
| Emissions                              |                 |                       |                        |            |           |
| <u>Pollutant</u>                       | <u>lb/hr</u>    | <u>tpy</u>            | <u>EF Source</u>       |            |           |
| NO <sub>x</sub>                        | 3.09            | 2.06                  | EPA Certification Data |            |           |
| CO                                     | 0.45            | 0.30                  | EPA Certification Data |            |           |
| VOC                                    | 0.11            | 0.071                 | EPA Certification Data |            |           |
| SO <sub>x</sub> as SO <sub>2</sub>     | 0.0035          | 0.0023                | Mass Balance           |            |           |
| PM/PM <sub>10</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.08            | 0.051                 | EPA Certification Data |            |           |
| EF                                     |                 |                       |                        |            |           |
|  | <u>kg/MMBtu</u> | <u>lb/MMBtu</u>       | <u>lb/gallon</u>       | <u>tpy</u> |           |
| CO <sub>2e</sub>                       | 73.9636         | 163.61                | 22.58                  | 246        | 40 CFR 98 |

- 6.e. Nonroad Diesel Engine – Sandvik Cone Crusher (new). Potential emissions from engine operation are calculated based on 1,333 hr/yr of operation at full rated load, use of ultra-low sulfur diesel (<0.0015% sulfur by weight), and applicable emission factors. Sulfur oxide emissions are estimated using mass balance methodology, assuming all fuel sulfur is converted to sulfur dioxide. Annual emissions will be calculated from actual hours of operation using the emission factors identified below.

|  |                 |                       |                        |            |           |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Operation =                            | 1,333           | hr/yr                 |                        |            |           |
| Power Output =                         | 717             | horsepower            |                        |            |           |
| Fuel Sulfur Content =                  | 0.0015          | % by weight           |                        |            |           |
| Fuel Consumption Rate =                | 36.4            | gal/hr                |                        |            |           |
| Fuel Heat Content =                    | 0.138           | MMBtu/gal (40 CFR 98) |                        |            |           |
| Emissions                              |                 |                       |                        |            |           |
| <u>Pollutant</u>                       | <u>lb/hr</u>    | <u>tpy</u>            | <u>EF Source</u>       |            |           |
| NO <sub>x</sub>                        | 8.61            | 5.74                  | EPA Certification Data |            |           |
| CO                                     | 8.61            | 5.74                  | EPA Certification Data |            |           |
| VOC                                    | 0.51            | 0.34                  | EPA Certification Data |            |           |
| SO <sub>x</sub> as SO <sub>2</sub>     | 0.0079          | 0.0053                | Mass Balance           |            |           |
| PM/PM <sub>10</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.39            | 0.26                  | EPA Certification Data |            |           |
| EF                                     |                 |                       |                        |            |           |
|  | <u>kg/MMBtu</u> | <u>lb/MMBtu</u>       | <u>lb/gallon</u>       | <u>tpy</u> |           |
| CO <sub>2e</sub>                       | 73.9636         | 163.61                | 22.58                  | 548        | 40 CFR 98 |

- 6.f. Emissions Summary/Facility-wide Potential to Emit. Facility-wide potential to emit as calculated in the sections above is summarized below.

| <u>Pollutant</u>  | <u>Potential Emissions (tpy)</u> | <u>Project Increase (tpy)</u> |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| NO <sub>x</sub>   | 18.06                            | 4.74                          |
| CO                | 3.99                             | 2.98                          |
| VOC               | 0.73                             | 0.23                          |
| SO <sub>2</sub>   | 0.018                            | 0.008                         |
| Lead              | 0.00                             | 0.0                           |
| PM                | 4.61                             | 0.17                          |
| PM <sub>10</sub>  | 1.60                             | 0.17                          |
| PM <sub>2.5</sub> | 0.54                             | 0.17                          |
| TAP               | 0.0                              | 0.0                           |
| HAP               | 0.0                              | 0.0                           |
| CO <sub>2e</sub>  | 1,922                            | 793                           |

## 7. REGULATIONS AND EMISSION STANDARDS

Regulations that have been used to evaluate the acceptability of the proposed facility and establish emission limits and control requirements include, but are not limited to, the regulations, codes, or requirements listed below.

- 7.a. Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 60 (40 CFR 60) Subpart OOO "Standards of Performance for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants" establishes opacity and particulate matter emission limits for stationary (fixed) plants with capacities greater than 25 tons per hour and portable plants greater than 150 tons per hour that were constructed, reconstructed or modified after August 31, 1983. More stringent requirements apply to affected facilities constructed, reconstructed or modified on or after April 22, 2008. This subpart is applicable to the rock crushing equipment proposed in ADP/NEP Application W-28.
- 7.b. 40 CFR 60 Subpart IIII "Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines" applies to each compression ignition (CI) internal combustion engine (ICE) that commences construction after July 11, 2005 and is manufactured after April 1, 2006, or that is modified or reconstructed after July 11, 2005. The Caterpillar Generator engine was manufactured prior to April 1, 2006, so this regulation is not applicable. The Sandvik Cone Crusher engine and the Komatsu Jaw Crusher Engine are classified as a nonroad engines and not subject to NSPS.
- 7.c. 40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ "National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines" establishes national emission limitations and operating limitations for HAP emitted from stationary reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) located at major and area sources of HAP emissions. The Caterpillar generator engine is subject to this regulation as an existing non-emergency engine at an area source. The Sandvik Cone Crusher engine and the Komatsu Jaw Crusher Engine are classified as a nonroad engines and not subject to NESHAP/MACT.
- 7.d. 40 CFR 1039 "Control of Emissions from New and In-use Nonroad Compression Ignition Engines" establishes standards for new nonroad engines beginning with the 2008 model year for certain categories. The applicable year varies by engine category. In accordance with the relevant subpart, nonroad engines must meet the appropriate EPA Tier certification standards based on engine size and year of manufacture. Emission standards formerly codified in 40 CFR 89 have been moved to 40 CFR 1039 Appendix I. This subpart is applicable to the nonroad engines at this facility.

The definition of "nonroad engine" for this subpart is found in 40 CFR 1068.30 and includes any internal combustion engine that (1)(iii) "That, by itself or in or on a piece of equipment, is portable or transportable, meaning designed to be and capable of being carried or moved from one location to another..." "An internal combustion engine is not a nonroad engine if:... (iii) the engine otherwise included in Paragraph 1(iii) of this definition remains or will remain at a location for more than 12 consecutive months or a shorter period of time for an engine located at a seasonal source...A location is any single site at a building, structure, facility or installation."

States are precluded from requiring retrofitting of nonroad engines except that states are permitted to adopt and enforce any such retrofitting requirements identical to California requirements which have been authorized by EPA under section 209 of the Clean Air Act. States may enforce limitations on hours of usage, daily mass emission limits, and sulfur limits on fuel as necessary.

- 7.e. Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 70A.15.2040 empowers any activated air pollution control authority to prepare and develop a comprehensive plan or plans for the prevention, abatement and control of air pollution within its jurisdiction. An air pollution control authority may issue such orders as may be necessary to effectuate the purposes of the Washington Clean Air Act and enforce the same by all appropriate administrative and judicial proceedings subject to the rights of appeal as provided in Chapter 62, Laws of 1970 ex. sess.
- 7.f. RCW 70A.15.2210 provides for the inclusion of conditions of operation as are reasonably necessary to assure the maintenance of compliance with the applicable ordinances, resolutions, rules and regulations when issuing an Air Discharge Permit for installation and establishment of an air contaminant source.
- 7.g. Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-476 "Ambient Air Quality Standards" establishes ambient air quality standards for PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, lead, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, and carbon monoxide in the ambient air, which shall not be exceeded.
- 7.h. SWCAA 400-040 "General Standards for Maximum Emissions" requires all new and existing sources and emission units to meet certain performance standards with respect to Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT), visible emissions, fallout, fugitive emissions, odors, emissions detrimental to persons or property, sulfur dioxide, concealment and masking, and fugitive dust.
- 7.i. SWCAA 400-045 "Permit Applications for Nonroad Engines" requires, with a few exceptions, submittal of a permit application for installation of nonroad engines as defined in 40 CFR 1039. This regulation is applicable to the nonroad engines proposed for use by the permittee.
- 7.j. SWCAA 400-046 "Application Review Process for Nonroad Engines" requires that a nonroad engine permit be issued by the agency prior to the installation, replacement or alteration of any nonroad engine subject to the requirements of SWCAA 400-045. Each application must demonstrate that the installation will not cause an exceedance of any national or state ambient air quality standard.
- 7.k. SWCAA 400-050 "Emission Standards for Combustion and Incineration Units" requires that all provisions of SWCAA 400-040 be met and that no person shall cause or permit the emission of particulate matter from any combustion or incineration unit in excess of 0.23 grams per dry cubic meter (0.1 grains per dry standard cubic foot) of exhaust gas at standard conditions.
- 7.l. SWCAA 400-060 "Emission Standards for General Process Units" prohibits particulate matter emissions from all new and existing process units in excess of 0.1 grains per dry standard cubic foot of exhaust gas.

- 7.m. SWCAA 400-109 "Air Discharge Permit Applications" requires that an Air Discharge Permit application be submitted for all new installations, modifications, changes, or alterations to process and emission control equipment consistent with the definition of "new source". Sources wishing to modify existing permit terms may submit an Air Discharge Permit application to request such changes. An Air Discharge Permit must be issued, or written confirmation of exempt status must be received, before beginning any actual construction, or implementing any other modification, change, or alteration of existing equipment, processes, or permits.
- 7.n. SWCAA 400-110 "New Source Review" requires that SWCAA issue an Air Discharge Permit in response to an Air Discharge Permit application prior to establishment of the new source, emission unit, or modification.
- 7.o. SWCAA 400-113 "Requirements for New Sources in Attainment or Nonclassifiable Areas" requires that no approval to construct or alter an air contaminant source shall be granted unless it is evidenced that:
- (1) The equipment or technology is designed and will be installed to operate without causing a violation of the applicable emission standards;
  - (2) Best Available Control Technology will be employed for all air contaminants to be emitted by the proposed equipment;
  - (3) The proposed equipment will not cause any ambient air quality standard to be exceeded; and
  - (4) If the proposed equipment or facility will emit any toxic air pollutant regulated under WAC 173-460, the proposed equipment and control measures will meet all the requirements of that Chapter.

## **8. RACT/BACT/BART/LAER/PSD/CAM DETERMINATIONS**

The proposed equipment and control systems incorporate Best Available Control Technology (BACT) for the types and amounts of air contaminants emitted by the processes as described below:

- 8.a. Nonroad Engine Certification. The nonroad engines proposed in this permitting action comply with applicable EPA Tier certification requirements, but are not subject to BACT.

### New BACT Determinations

- 8.b. BACT Determination – Rock Crushing and Aggregate Screening. The use of high pressure wet suppression systems, including spray or fog nozzles operating at a minimum pressure of 80 psig, has been determined to meet the requirements of BACT for the proposed crushing and screening equipment. Because there are other wet suppression systems (e.g. sonic fogging systems) that utilize a lower water pressure but provide equivalent or superior levels of emission control, the permit will allow for wet suppression systems reviewed and approved by SWCAA that provide equivalent or superior control of particulate matter emissions.
- 8.c. BACT Determination – Fugitive Dust. The use of wet suppression has been determined to meet the requirements of BACT for fugitive dust emissions from storage piles, material transfer points, and haul roads for this source.

### Previous BACT Determinations

- 8.d. BACT Determination – Rock Crushing and Screening Equipment (ADP 16-3206). The use of wet suppression systems, including spray or fog nozzles operating at a minimum pressure of 80 psig, has been determined to meet the requirements of BACT for rock crushing and screening equipment for this source.

- 8.e. BACT Determination – Fugitive Dust (ADP 16-3206). The use of wet suppression has been determined to meet the requirements of BACT for fugitive dust emissions from storage piles, material transfer points, and haul roads for this source.
- 8.f. BACT Determination – Diesel Engine (ADP 16-3206). Engine design is often the most cost-effective means of preventing or controlling emissions from diesel engines of this size. The Caterpillar engine is EPA Tier certified, limited to 1,333 hr/yr operation, and is approved to burn only ultra-low sulfur (15 ppmw) fuel oil. At these levels of utilization, SWCAA believes that the use of ultra-low sulfur fuel and the limitation of visible emissions to 10% opacity or less meets the requirements of BACT for the types and quantities of air contaminants emitted from the generator engine.

#### Other Determinations

- 8.g. Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Applicability Determination. The potential to emit of this facility is less than applicable PSD applicability thresholds. Likewise, this permitting action will not result in a potential increase in emissions equal to or greater than the PSD thresholds. Therefore, PSD review is not applicable to this action.
- 8.h. Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Applicability Determination. CAM is not applicable to any emission unit at this facility because it is not a major source and is not required to obtain a Part 70 permit.

### **9. AMBIENT IMPACT ANALYSIS**

- 9.a. Toxic Air Pollutant Review. The new equipment and modifications proposed in ADP/NEP Application W-28 will not affect the type or quantity of TAP emissions from existing rock crushing operations.

#### **Conclusions**

- 9.b. Operation of new rock crushing equipment, as proposed in ADP/NEP Application W-28, will not cause the ambient air quality requirements of Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 50 "National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards" to be violated.
- 9.c. Operation of new rock crushing equipment, as proposed in ADP/NEP Application W-28, will not cause the requirements of WAC 173-460 "Controls for New Sources of Toxic Air Pollutants" or WAC 173-476 "Ambient Air Quality Standards" to be violated.
- 9.d. Operation of new rock crushing equipment, as proposed in ADP/NEP Application W-28, will not cause a violation of emission standards for sources as established under SWCAA General Regulations Sections 400-040 "General Standards for Maximum Emissions," 400-050 "Emission Standards for Combustion and Incineration Units," and 400-060 "Emission Standards for General Process Units."

### **10. DISCUSSION OF APPROVAL CONDITIONS**

SWCAA has made a determination to issue ADP/NEP 25-3681 in response to ADP/NEP Application W-28. ADP/NEP 25-3681 contains approval requirements deemed necessary to assure compliance with applicable regulations and emission standards as discussed below.

- 10.a. Supersession of Previous Permits. ADP/NEP 25-3681 supersedes ADP 16-3206 in its entirety.

- 10.b. General Basis. Permit requirements for equipment affected by this permitting action incorporate the operating schemes proposed by the applicant in ADP/NEP Application W-28. Permit requirements established by this action are intended to implement BACT, minimize emissions, and assure compliance with applicable requirements on a continuous basis. Emission limits for approved equipment are based on the maximum potential emissions calculated in Section 6 of this Technical Support Document.
- 10.c. Monitoring and Recordkeeping Requirements. ADP/NEP 25-3681 establishes monitoring and recordkeeping requirements sufficient to document compliance with applicable emission limits, ensure proper operation of approved equipment and provide for compliance with generally applicable requirements. Specific monitoring requirements are established for hours of operation and material throughput.
- 10.d. Reporting Requirements. ADP/NEP 25-3681 establishes general reporting requirements for annual air emissions, upset conditions and excess emissions. Specific reporting requirements are established for hours of operation and material throughput. Reports are to be submitted on an annual basis.
- 10.e. Rock Crushing Equipment. Permit requirements for the proposed rock crushing equipment are consistent with the operating scheme and material data submitted by the applicant. Visible emission limits have been established consistent with proper operation of the proposed equipment and wet suppression systems. High pressure spray systems ( $\geq 80$  psig) have been determined to be a minimum BACT requirement for individual rock crushers and screens.
- 10.f. Diesel Engine. Permit requirements for the electric generator's diesel engine are based on limited service (not to exceed 1,333 hr/yr). Visible emission limits have been established consistent with proper operation and maintenance of the engine. Compliance with applicable emission limits will be demonstrated based on annual operation as recorded and reported by the permittee.
- 10.g. Nonroad Engine – Visible Emission Limits. Visible emissions from nonroad engines were limited to 10% opacity. Visible emissions should not exceed this level if the engines are operating properly. For nonroad engines, SWCAA uses this as a surrogate indicator that the engines are in good repair (rather than a tailpipe emission standard otherwise precluded by 40 CFR 1039). This restriction is appropriate because if the engine is not maintained in good repair, emissions are likely to greatly exceed expected emission levels and could cause an exceedance of a state or federal ambient air quality standard.
- 10.h. Nonroad Engine – Fuel Limitation. The use of ultra-low-sulfur diesel ( $\leq 0.0015\%$  by weight) is a reasonable control measure that reduces  $\text{SO}_x$  and PM emissions relative to fuels with a higher sulfur content. The permit allows the use of "#2 diesel or better." In this case, "or better" includes road-grade diesel fuel with a lower sulfur content, biodiesel, and mixtures of biodiesel and road-grade diesel that meet the definition of "diesel" and contain no more than 0.0015% sulfur by weight.
- 10.i. Requirements for Unmodified Emission Units. Permit requirements for existing emission units not affected by ADP/NEP Application W-28 are carried forward unchanged from ADP 16-3206.

## **11. START-UP AND SHUTDOWN/ALTERNATIVE OPERATING SCENARIOS/POLLUTION PREVENTION**

- 11.a. Start-up and Shutdown Provisions. Pursuant to SWCAA 400-081 "Start-up and Shutdown", technology based emission standards and control technology determinations shall take into consideration the physical and operational ability of a source to comply with the applicable standards during start-up or shutdown.



Where it is determined that a source is not capable of achieving continuous compliance with an emission standard during start-up or shutdown, SWCAA shall include appropriate emission limitations, operating parameters, or other criteria to regulate performance of the source during start-up or shutdown.

Diesel Engine Startup. Diesel engines may exhibit higher than normal opacity during startup. Accordingly, the visual emissions limit for the diesel engine power unit is not applicable during the startup period defined in the permit. General opacity standards continue to apply.

- 11.b. Alternate Operating Scenarios. SWCAA conducted a review of alternate operating scenarios applicable to equipment affected by this permitting action. The permittee did not propose or identify any applicable alternate operating scenarios. Therefore, none were included in the permit requirements.
- 11.c. Pollution Prevention Measures. SWCAA conducted a review of possible pollution prevention measures for the facility. No pollution prevention measures were identified by either the permittee or SWCAA separate from those measures required under BACT considerations. Therefore, none were included in the permit requirements.

## 12. EMISSION MONITORING AND TESTING

- 12.a. Emission Testing Requirements – Rock Crushing Equipment. Affected rock crushers and associated screening equipment and belt conveyors are required to perform one-time opacity observations as required by 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO. All of the crushing and screening equipment addressed by this permitting action is subject to the initial testing requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart OOO.

## 13. FACILITY HISTORY

- 13.a. Previous Permitting Actions. SWCAA has previously issued the following Permits for this facility:

| <u>Permit Number</u> | <u>Application Number</u> | <u>Date</u> | <u>Purpose</u>  |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---|
| 16-3206              | W-27                      | 12/12/2016  | Installation of a replacement diesel generator engine Caterpillar PM3508, 1,538 bhp, mfg 2004.            |
| 09-2847              | W-22                      | 1/20/2009   | Installation of a Terex Canica VSI Impact Crusher.  |
| 00-2290              | W-13                      | 7/28/2000   | Installation of a Cedarapids Jaw Crusher.   |
| 73-0315<br>LET       | W-2                       | 3/15/1973   | Approval of proposal to control PM from uncontrolled cement silo (required by Administrative Order 73-5). |
| 73-5                 | --                        | 2/21/1973   | Administrative Order.   |

- 13.b. Compliance History. The following notices of violation have been issued to the Burns facility in the last 5 years:

| <u>Date</u> | <u>NOV Number</u> | <u>Violation</u>                  |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 9/18/2024   | 11334             | Failure to comply with NOC 11211. |

| <u>Date</u> | <u>NOV<br/>Number</u> | <u>Violation</u>   |
|-------------|-----------------------|--|
| 8/8/2024    | 11222                 | Failure to comply with NOCP 11064.   |
| 5/7/2024    | 11211                 | Failure to submit 2023 annual emissions inventory in violation of ADP 16-3206. |
| 1/11/2024   | 11064                 | Failure to complete corrective actions required by NOC 10819.                  |
| 7/12/2023   | 10819                 | Installation of two emission units without obtaining approval from SWCAA.      |
| 5/11/2023   | 10806                 | Failure to submit 2022 annual emissions inventory in violation of ADP 16-3206. |
| 5/5/2021    | 10342                 | Failure to submit annual throughput for 2020 in violation of SWCAA 400-105.    |
| 5/18/2020   | 10216                 | Failure to submit annual throughput for 2019 in violation of SWCAA 400-105.    |

#### 14. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT OPPORTUNITY

- 14.a. Public Notice for ADP/NEP Application W-28. Public notice for ADP/NEP Application W-28 was published on the SWCAA internet website for a minimum of (15) days beginning on December 4, 2024.
- 14.b. Public/Applicant Comment for ADP/NEP Application W-28. SWCAA did not receive specific comments, a comment period request or any other inquiry from the public regarding this ADP/NEP application. Therefore, no public comment period was provided for this permitting action.
- 14.c. State Environmental Policy Act. A Mitigated Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) 93-0622003 was issued for mining and crushing operations in the Abe Pit Quarry, including the Permittee's operation, by the Washington State Department of Natural Resources on June 22, 1993. The operations proposed under this permitting action are still consistent with the original determination. SWCAA did not conduct a separate or additional SEPA review for this permitting action.